

<p>PLANNING, RESOURCE AND BUDGET COMMITTEE</p> <p>Minutes</p> <p>October 5, 2018</p> <p>1:00 PM – 2:30 PM</p> <p>CP-1060-05</p>
--

Attendance

√	Amir Dabirian	√	Mikyong Kim-Goh	√	HyeKyeung Seung
√	Peter de Lijser	√	Kari Knutson Miller	√	Binod Tiwari
	Berenecea Johnson Eanes	√	Stacy Mallicoat, Chair		Framroze Virjee
√	David Forgues	√	Craig McConnell		Megan Wagner
	Danielle Garcia representing President Framroze Virjee	√	Dave Mickey	√	Meghan Waymire
√	Rebecca Hesgard	√	Nelson Nagai	√	Emeline Yong
√	Sherif Khalifa		James Rodriguez		Maria Estela Zarate
√	Danny C. Kim		Greg Saks		

Guests: Adamson, Badal, T. Garcia, Graylee, Johnson, Scialdone for Eanes, Tran

I. Call to Order

- Chair Mallicoat called to order at 1:00 pm

II. Introductions**III. Announcements**

- Waymire mentioned Voter Registration Day is taking place October 16th from 10-4PM and asked to spread the word that more faculty volunteers are needed.
 - Dabirian commented that there are almost 20,000 faculty/students/staff registered to vote.
- Seung announced that on October 1st and 2nd the Communication Sciences and Disorders department successfully completed the site visit by the Council on Academic Accreditation in audiology and speech-language pathology.
- Mickey announced the opening of Bee-luther-hathchee playing in the Hallberg Theatre for the next three weeks.

IV. Approval of Minutes

4.1 Minutes September 21, 2018 (draft)

- M/S/P Tiwari, Mickey

V. New Business

5.1 McCarthy Hall Renovation – Marie Johnson, Dean of Natural Sciences and Mathematics presented with the following topics covered in the PowerPoint presentation:

- What to do about your old science building?
 - It's dated, worn, tired looking.
 - It's an energy hog with outdated infrastructure.

- It has dark, narrow, double loaded corridors with closed doors opening to small labs.
- It lacks glass and allows no natural light into the interior.
- The building screams “We are mediocre!”
- But our renovated science building could be designed to fix all that.
 - Put math and science on display so students see people like themselves in lab.
 - Students learn, study, do, connect all in the same space.
 - Informal learning occurs as frequently as formal learning.
 - People and ideas colliding – a sense of energy.
 - Walk in and KNOW it’s a math and science building.
 - The building screams “Smart people are doing important things here!”
- Budget - \$40M, Phase 1
- Objective
 - Painful to renovate so.....life must be better for students and faculty.
- Second Floor Focus
 - Home to two NSM departments
 - Connects to DBH and College of the Arts
 - Underutilized outdoor terrace/patio
- Transformative
 - From double loaded corridor to student centered learning
 - Huddle Rooms
 - Community and multipurpose spaces
 - Ceiling light fixture is a caffeine molecule
 - Geology space
- Timeline
- Breakdown of Budget
- Future Budget – Phase 2?
- What you should know
 - Student centric renovation
 - Enough funding for safety upgrades + one floor
 - Do well and attract future \$\$s
 - Every activity on MH – 2 has to go somewhere else

After the presentation the following questions were asked and answered:

- Q: Waymire – Will an all gender bathroom be included in the renovation?
A: Absolutely, it will be on the second floor.
- Q: Hesgard – Were the input of faculty and students included in the decision making process?
A: Yes, we had the same firm that did the survey for all CSUF come back and do a survey just for McCarthy Hall, so we got a lot of input that way.
- Q: Mallicoat – Will the departments that will be moved for the 2nd floor renovation be kept together in the temporary location?
A: Hopefully.
- VP Kim commented on surge space stating that the project has to pay for surge space and a temporary lab will have to be built. Trying to optimize that is very critical. We have \$1 million for it, which is like half of a lab.
- Q: Mallicoat – How many labs are on the second floor?
A: There’s several faculty labs and an anatomy lab.

5.2 Tenure Track Density Data – Su Swarat, AVP of Institutional Effectiveness, presented with the following topics covered in the PowerPoint presentation:

- Faculty Headcount
 - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: n = 1890, Fall 2017: n = 2124
 - T/TT only: Fall 2012: n = 745, Fall 2017: n = 816
- Faculty % by Gender
 - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: Female n = 947 (50.1%), Fall 2017: Female n = 1077 (50.7%)
 - T/TT only: Fall 2012: Female n = 324 (43.5%), Fall 2017: Female n = 385 (47.2%)
- Faculty % by UR
 - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: UR n = 230 (12.2%), Fall 2017: UR n = 307 (14.5%)
 - T/TT only: Fall 2012: UR n = 87 (11.7%), Fall 2017: UR n = 109 (13.4%)
- Faculty % by Ethnicity
- Tenure Density by College
- T/TT Faculty Search Success Rate

After the presentation the following comments were made:

- Mallicoat stated that this was a good place to start with conversations about tenure/tenure-track density. The hope is to use this data along with the report from the CSU on tenure-track density to put together a smaller working group. The next PRBC meeting on October 19th will be cancelled and a working group meeting will take place instead in order to draft some recommendations.

5.3 Election of Vice Chair

- Chair Mallicoat decided to table this decision until the full committee was present.

VI. Adjournment

- M/S/P Dabirian, Mickey at 1:33 pm

FUTURE ITEMS

- 10/19 Tenure Track Density Working Group

Respectfully submitted: Mara Cabrera